

# PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR COMPENSATION SURVEY LITHUANIA 2022

#### COPYRIGHT

This report is confidential. This copy has been submitted only to the herein listed organization and its contents shall not be circulated, forwarded or used for commercial purposes by any means without the written consent of Figure Baltic Advisory.

Owner of this copy is:

© Figure Baltic Advisory 2022

A. Goštauto 01108 Vilnius +370 675 198 67 info@salarysurvey.lt

### INTRODUCTION

The 2022 Pharmaceutical Sector Compensation Survey is the fifteenth in this sector, in Lithuania. The survey reflects salary trends and practices in the pharmaceutical sector.

The purpose of the sector compensation survey is to provide Lithuanian offices of international pharmaceutical organizations with objective information related to employees' compensation.

The survey presents an overview of the current salary market (as of June 2022) in the pharmaceutical sector, compares changes to the previous year and describes expectations organizations have regarding employee compensation.

The Pharmaceutical Sector Compensation Survey 2022 in Lithuania comprises the data of **27** organizations.

The report provides: (1) analyzed information on compensation changes and compensation policies, (2) detailed compensation - related analysis according to job families and levels and (3) compensation information in salary tables according to job families and levels.

The Compensation Analysis Tool (CAT) includes comparisons made based on organizations and incumbents (see **Organization weighted and incumbent weighted approach**).

We hope you will find our survey a helpful tool in making compensation-related decisions.

Fontes would like to extend its gratitude to the Association of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers (APME) and all participants who helped compiling the present survey.

Sincerely yours,

Povilas Blusius, Mantas Anskaitis, Evelina Maškalaitė





### **TALENT AND SALARY**

How to find perfect balance between hopes and possibilities?

How to value talent in numbers? What is the... adequate value of the priceless? *Find the answers in Compensation Survey* 

### **TALENT AND ORGANIZATION**

Do we fit together?

Do we speak the same language?

Are we in our own element?

Find the answers in Organization Culture Survey The survey is created in cooperation with Tallinn University

### **TALENT AND SATISFACTION**

Are the talents satisfied and dedicated?

What is the relation between results and feelings?

How humane is the working environment and the organization?

Find the answers in Employee Satisfaction Survey

© Figure Baltic Advisory 2022

B. Goštauto
01108 Vilnius
+370 675 198 67
info@salarysurvey.lt

### SUMMARY

Organizations participated in the Pharmaceutical Sector Compensation Survey 2022 in Lithuania.

# <u>\*</u> +4.7%

is the average change of monthly base salary for employees whose position did not change during previous 12 months. Annual total cash change was on average +9.9%. These statistics include data of all employees, including those whose salary has not been changed or was reduced.

# **il 5.4%**

is the average increase of monthly base salary for those employees whose compensation was increased during previous 12 months.

# ∕ 90%

of employees have received an increase in monthly base salary, meanwhile, annual total cash has increased for 81 % of employees having similar responsibilities as the year before.

# **6 81.9%**

of employees during previous 12 months have received at least one variable component of compensation. 67.6% of employees have received short-term bonuses and incentives, 17.3% of employees - sales bonuses and incentives.

# 😽 686

employees' compensation information is analysed in the survey. 87% of employees work in Vilnius or Vilnius County, 5% in Kaunas County, 8% - in Other Counties.

# ₩ 47%

of the organizations increased the number of employees during previous 12 months (on average by +8%), 11% of organizations decreased the number of employees (on average by -27%), meanwhile, in 42% of organizations the number of employees remained the same.

# **5** 6.9%

is the average employee voluntary turnover in organizations during previous 12 months, meanwhile, total employee turnover was on average 10.0 %.

# . 89%

of the organizations plan to increase monthly base salary in 2022 and 89% plan to increase annual total cash, meanwhile, 11% do not plan any changes in monthly base salary.

# **b** 100%

of the organizations offer short term incentives (variable incentives) and 75% of organizations offer sales incentives to at least one employee group. 30% of organizations offer longterm incentives, meanwhile, none offer profit sharing.



is an average forecasted increase in monthly base salary in 2022. Forecasted increase in annual total cash is on average 5.0%, 5.0% and 3.9%, for years 2022, 2023, 2024 respectively. - 47%

of organizations plan to maintain the same number of employees in 2022, 35% plan to increase the number of employees, and 18% of organizations plan to decrease the headcount.



90% of organizations offer Internal training, 75% offer External training, while 5% do not provide any training for their employees. For 58% of organizations the training expenses have not changed, for 32% increased, while 10% of the organizations have decreased their expenses for employee training. In light of the current economic situation, it can be noted that currently 82% of the organizations does not compensate costs related to the remote work.



65% of organizations offer flexible working arrangements to at least one employee group, while 67% and 50% offer additional paid vacation and remote work, respectively. In light of the rising inflation levels, it can be noted that 82% of the respondents does not compensate costs related to remote work, while others compensate or partially compensate, for instance, electricity, mobile phone costs.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| INTRODUCTION  | 2     |
|---|-------|
| SUMMARY   | 4     |
| SURVEY METHODOLOGY  | 7     |
| Organization weighted and incumbent weighted approach                 | 9     |
| STRUCTURE OF THE SURVEY SAMPLE  | 11    |
| I REMUNERATION SYSTEM CHANGES AND COMPENSATION POLICY                 | 16    |
| 1.1. Salary Changes   | 16    |
| 1.2. Change in Number of Employees                                    | 26    |
| 1.3. Remuneration System and Changes in Salary                        | 29    |
| 1.4. Forecasted Salary Change   | 38    |
| 1.5. HR Budget  | 40    |
| 1.6. The Components of Remuneration                                   | 43    |
| 1.6.1. Sales Incentives (incl. Commissions)                           | 46    |
| 1.6.2. Short-Term Incentives  |       |
| 1.6.3. Long-Term Incentives   |       |
| 1.7. Benefits and Perquisites   |       |
| 1.7.1. Work Related Tools Benefits                                    |       |
| 1.7.2. Benefits to Balance Work and Personal Life                     |       |
| 1.7.3. Other Benefits<br>1.8. Training                                |       |
|   |       |
| 1.9. Equal Pay  |       |
|   |       |
| II DETAILED COMPENSATION-RELATED ANALYSIS BY JOB FAMILIES AND LEVELS  |       |
| 2.1. Average Change in Salaries by Job Families and Levels            |       |
| 2.2. Average Proportion of Variable Salary by Job Families and Levels |       |
| 2.3. Salary Changes by Job Value Points                               |       |
| 2.4. Salary Levels by Job Value Points - Actual Salary Levels         |       |
| 2.5. Salary Levels by Job Value Points - Regressed Salary Levels      |       |
|   |       |
| How to Use the Salary Table   |       |
| APPENDIX A - Job Families and Levels with Points                      |       |
| APPENDIX B - Survey Participants                                      |       |
|   |       |
| APPENDIX D - Analytical Job Evaluation Method                         | . 100 |

### SURVEY METHODOLOGY

#### Participants and Target Group

The purpose of the Pharmaceutical Sector Compensation Survey is to provide each organization's compensation analysis and comparison to the sector, based on structured high-quality market information in the pharmaceutical sector in Lithuania.

The survey helps to follow and to evaluate changes in the labour market, to make compensation related decisions and to define the right compensation package for employees consistent with the current situation.

#### **Job Classification**

To ensure a precise compensation comparison among jobs with similar responsibilities and functions, all jobs present in organizations are classified into specific job families (by the function and content) and levels (by the responsibility and job complexity) using the General Job Description Catalogue and Pharmaceutical Job Description Catalogue. All job families and levels have also been evaluated using analytical job evaluation method (see APPENDIX D - Analytical Job Evaluation Method), in order to facilitate comparison between different job families (in points).

Job descriptions used for classification are represented prior to a respective salary table.

#### Salary and Compensation Data Gathering and Analysis

Salary data was gathered using two complementary data forms: salary tables and questionnaires regarding compensation policy.

Data was asked for all jobs in an organization. The data was collected, processed, and analyzed between May to June 2022. The monthly base salary data is provided as of May 2022. When calculating the amounts of annual total cash, paid out variable short-term incentives and assigned long term financial incentives/bonuses for the previous 12 months are taken into account.

Compensation changes in job families and levels have been calculated for employees whose position, duties and responsibilities have not changed during last year as well as for employees whose position, duties and responsibilities have changed (for example, who work on a higher or lower position than last year or with increased responsibility and duties).

In addition to the detailed compensation data, the representatives of the organizations provided information on compensation policies. The information was gathered from the questionnaire in five general parts - general information on the organization, compensation system, compensation parts (including incentive schemes), benefits and training, other additional questions.

The electronically-obtained data was checked and streamlined. RStudio Desktop 2022.02.3+492 as well as spreadsheet application MS Excel were used for statistical analysis.

When the answers were submitted for statistical processing, usually the median value and/or the arithmetic average was calculated; for salary data other positional averages, such as the median, 10%, 90% deciles and 25%, 75% quartiles, were also used (see APPENDIX C - Terms). The percentages presented in the tables and graphs represent the weight of an answer among the total number of answers to the questions at hand (respondents who did not answer have not been taken into account).

The salary information has been presented based on two principles:

- to submit as much objective information as possible,
- to preserve the confidentiality of the information relating to the participating organizations.

Therefore, the criterion of the minimum number of organizations has been used upon analysis (see page 77).

All numbers are presented in gross amounts and in EUR.

#### Organization weighted and incumbent weighted approach

Compensation survey consists of two different approaches of calculation: organization weighted approach and incumbent weighted approach.

Organization weighted statistics better reflect company policies (a pay for a job), while incumbent weighted describes the reality of the labor market (a pay for an individual).

Tip: Incumbent weighted describes what the average person earns, not what the average company pays.

**Organization weighted approach** (extension ORG) – a job market distribution computed using the averaged incumbent pay for each organization. Each company is weighted equally so no company can influence the distribution statistics based on a large share of the incumbent sample. The "Organization weighted" part of this term indicates that it is a reflection of what the typical company is paying. The calculation first determines the average pay for a job at each unique company, and then calculates the average of those averages.

**Incumbent weighted approach** (extension *IND*) – a job market distribution computed using individual employee (incumbent) pay data regardless of affiliation with a company. While each incumbent is weighted equally, organizations with large share of the incumbent sample may influence the distribution statistics. The "Incumbent weighted" part of this term means it is the average pay for each person in the labor market.

The salary tables in the PDF report of the survey (MONETARY COMPENSATION) represent the statistics that have been calculated based on organizations (organization weighted approach).

Salary changes in chapters:

- 1.1. Salary Changes
- 2.1. Average Change in Salaries by Job Families and Levels

are calculated based on both organization weighted and incumbent weighted approach.

The Compensation Analyzes Tool represent both organization weighted and incumbent weighted approach. Trendlines in the Compensation Analyzes Tool are based on organizations!

### FIGURE

#### Explanation

Say we have companies A (with 3 employees), B (with 2 employees), C (with 9 employees), D (with 2 employees) and E (with 4 employees).

If we want to know the average salary based on the employees (incumbent weighted approach), we sum up the salaries of all the employees and divide the sum by 20 (the total employees of 5 companies altogether).

If we want the average salary based on companies (organization weighted approach), we'll start by calculating the average salaries inside the companies and then find the average total (by summing up the averages of the companies and dividing the sum by 5).

#### **Based on employees**

|         | <b>•</b> • |
|---------|------------|
| Org     | Salary     |
| Α       | 1 000      |
| Α       | 1 000      |
| Α       | 1 000      |
| В       | 900        |
| В       | 1 200      |
| С       | 860        |
| С       | 860        |
| С       | 860        |
| С       | 900        |
| С       | 920        |
| С       | 920        |
| С       | 980        |
| С       | 980        |
| С       | 980        |
| D       | 800        |
| D       | 850        |
| E       | 1 200      |
| E       | 1 200      |
| E       | 1 200      |
| E       | 1 500      |
|         |            |
| Average | 1 006      |
| Median  | 980        |

#### **Based on organizations**

| Org     | Average Salary |  |  |  |
|---------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Α       | 1 000          |  |  |  |
| В       | 1 050          |  |  |  |
| С       | 920            |  |  |  |
| D       | 825            |  |  |  |
| E       | 1 275          |  |  |  |
|         |                |  |  |  |
|         |                |  |  |  |
| Average | 1 014          |  |  |  |
|         | 4              |  |  |  |
| Median  | 1 000          |  |  |  |

### **STRUCTURE OF THE SURVEY SAMPLE**


**27** organizations participated in the 2022 Pharmaceutical Sector Compensation Survey. All participants submitted the salary table and 20 filled in the questionnaire.

The salary data of 686 positions was used for the analysis. 72% of positions were filled by women. 87% of positions are situated in Vilnius County, 5 % in Kaunas County, while rest of the positions are scattered across other counties.

For the first part of the survey, the analysis on compensation policy data is analyzed according to employee groups (please see Graph 1 below). Due to different organizational structures and size of the organizations the sample in each employee group differs.

#### Graph 1. Employee Groups in Organizations (Based on Organizations' Answers)

(the graph shows the frequency of employee groups in organizations)



Graph is calculated using the answers of 20 organizations.

### FIGURE

**Executives** – employees (management team) who provide strategic vision and/or ensure operational activities across multiple functions or sub-functions. Determine policy and direction of the organization or a functional area and direct its activities – usually through other managers. Can be the head of an organization, board members, function managers or sub-function managers in very large organizations.

**Management (first level managers, middle level managers)** – employees who focus on tactical vision and/or operational activities within a specific area. Report to an executive or head of an organization. Have managerial responsibility for a department or a team. Coordinate and organize activities of a separate unit or service within an organization. Establish operational and administrative procedures, formulate policies relevant to their areas, and organize, lead and direct others to achieve their goals.

**Professional sales** – employees who sell products, services or solutions to other organizations or individuals and have a responsibility for sales results. Professionals with no managerial responsibility, although they may provide mentoring, coaching or supervision to less experienced staff. Senior sales positions may take on team leader roles that are based on profound expertise rather than on people management. Report to a manager.


**Professional non-sales (senior specialists, specialists)** – professionals in various fields with no managerial responsibility, although they may provide mentoring, coaching or supervision to less experienced staff. Senior positions may take on team leader roles that are based on profound expertise rather than on people management. Report to a manager. Most positions in this group are responsible for professional and technical everyday work in an organization/department.

**Para-professional "white collar" (junior specialists, specialists, technical specialists)** – employees who carry out an organization's administrative/technical tasks and who do not have a supervisory or managerial responsibility. Report to a manager/supervisor. Office clerks, operational support, and technicians (excluding blue collar employees). Employees who perform operational tasks according to specific standards and guidelines. Most occupations in this group require only limited job-specific knowledge or relevant experience.

**Para-professional "blue collar" (workers, technical support employees)** – semi-skilled or unskilled employees whose work does not require specific professional education. Carry out standard tasks. Report to a leader/supervisor. Include production and operations support employees and technicians.




#### Graph 2. Positions by Region




Graph is calculated using the data of 27 organizations.

#### Graph 3. Organizations by Origin of Capital




Graph is calculated using the data of 27 organizations.






Graph is calculated using the answers of 25 organizations.

#### Graph 5. Organizations by Labour Costs (% of Revenue)



Graph is calculated using the answers of 14 organizations.



#### Graph 6. Organizations by Number of Employees with Employment Contract


Graph is calculated using the data of 27 organizations.

## I REMUNERATION SYSTEM CHANGES AND COMPENSATION POLICY


#### 1.1. Salary Changes

The following graphs and results are calculated using the data from salary tables.

Graph 7. Compensation Change during 2021-2022 for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year




# Graph 8. Proportion of Employees Whose Monthly Base Salary Has Increased/Remained Constant/Decreased in 2015 – 2022



#### FIGURE




Graph 9. Monthly Base Salary Change during 2021-2022 by Job Value Points (for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year)



<sup>\*</sup>NoJ - Number of jobs in the analysis

Graph 10. Annual Total Change during 2021-2022 by Job Value Points (for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year)



\*NoJ - Number of jobs in the analysis



Table 1. Incumbent Weighted - Monthly Base Salary and Annual Total Cash <a href="https://www.cash.com">Change</a> during 2021-2022 for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year

| Statistic | Monthly Base<br>Salary | Annual Total<br>Cash |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Average   | 4,7%                   | 9,9%                 |
| P90       | 10%                    | 27%                  |
| P75       | 6%                     | 16%                  |
| Median    | 4%                     | 6%                   |
| P25       | 2%                     | 2%                   |
| P10       | 0%                     | -4%                  |
| NoJ       | 428                    | 425                  |

Positions where salary did not change or decreased were included into the salary change analysis.

Table 2. Organization Weighted - Monthly Base Salary and Annual Total Cash <a href="https://www.cashiba.com">Change</a> during</a>2021-2022 for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year

| Statistic | Monthly Base<br>Salary | Annual Total<br>Cash |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Average   | 4,5%                   | 11,3%                |
| P90       | 8%                     | 21%                  |
| P75       | 5%                     | 13%                  |
| Median    | 4%                     | 10%                  |
| P25       | 3%                     | 4%                   |
| P10       | 2%                     | 2%                   |
| ΝοΟ       | 23                     | 22                   |

Positions where salary did not change or decreased were included into the salary change analysis.

NB! Organization weighted salary changes by job value points are here - Salary Changes by Job Value Points (see page 74) 

 Table 3. Incumbent Weighted - Monthly Base Salary and Annual Total Cash Increase during 2021 

 2022 for Employees Whose Position Has Not Changed during Last Year

| Statistic | Monthly Base<br>Salary | Annual Total<br>Cash |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Average   | 5,4%                   | 13,8%                |
| P90       | 10%                    | 31%                  |
| P75       | 6%                     | 20%                  |
| Median    | 4%                     | 10%                  |
| P25       | 3%                     | 4%                   |
| P10       | 2%                     | 2%                   |
| NoJ       | 384                    | 342                  |

Only positions where salary increased were included into the analysis.

 Table 4. Organization Weighted - Monthly Base Salary and Annual Total Cash Change during

 2021-2022 for Employees Whose Position Changed during Last Year\*

| Statistic | Monthly Base<br>Salary | Annual Total<br>Cash |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Average   | 24,5%                  | 27,2%                |
| P90       | 48%                    | 43%                  |
| P75       | 34%                    | 31%                  |
| Median    | 19%                    | 25%                  |
| P25       | 14%                    | 15%                  |
| P10       | 12%                    | 14%                  |
| NoO       | 9                      | 9                    |


Positions where salary did not change or decreased were included into the salary change analysis.


\*Position change means promotion, moving to a different position or getting bigger responsibility.


| Statistic | Monthly Base<br>Salary | Annual Total<br>Cash | Proportion of<br>Variable Salary |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Average   | 4 227                  | 60 769               | 17,9%                            |
| P90       | 5 681                  | 81 073               | 33%                              |
| P75       | 4 996                  | 68 959               | 22%                              |
| Median    | 4 070                  | 61 448               | 17%                              |
| P25       | 3 675                  | 47 278               | 11%                              |
| P10       | 3 064                  | 42 679               | 8%                               |
| NoJ       | 686                    | 686                  | 686                              |
| ΝοΟ       | 27                     | 27                   | 27                               |

Table 5. Organization Weighted - Monthly Base Salary, Annual Total Cash and Proportion ofVariable Salary 2020-2021 - WHOLE MARKET

Graph 11. Organization Weighted - Monthly Base Salary Change Forecast by Organizations for Next Year and Actual Average Monthly Base Salary Change for during 2012-2023







|         |   |       |                    |                    |                    | thursday 20         |  |
|---------|---|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| thly Ba |   |       |                    |                    | on Survey: Li      | <u>unuania, 20.</u> |  |
| -       | In the set of |       |                    |                    |                    |                     |  |
| 2022    | 2021  | 2020  | 2022<br>vs<br>2021 | 2022<br>vs<br>2020 | 2022<br>vs<br>2021 | 2022<br>vs<br>2020  |  |
| 185     | 2 018   | 1 872 | 8%                 | 17%                | 167                | 313                 |  |
| 304     | 2 194   | 2 064 | 5%                 | 12%                | 110                | 240                 |  |
| 491     | 2 291   | 2 163 | 9%                 | 15%                | 200                | 328                 |  |
| 2713    | 2 744   | 2 574 | -1%                | 5%                 | -31                | 139                 |  |
| 302     | 3 243   | 3 233 | 2%                 | 2%                 | 59                 | 69                  |  |
| 219     | 4 420   | 4 220 | -5%                | 0%                 | -201               | -1                  |  |
| 350     | 4 592   | 3 961 | -5%                | 10%                | -242               | 389                 |  |

9%

2%

-8%

-4%

5%

258

-360

-707

-1 511

-205 EUR

461

123

-701

-455

82 EUR

5 119

6 258

8 394

12 677

Average:

5%

-5%

-8%

-11%

-1%

Job value

points

160

184

212

244

281

323

371

427

492

566

651

2022

2 185

2 304

2 491

2 713

3 302

4 219

4 350

5 580

6 381

7 693


12 222

5 322

6 741

8 400

13 733



#### Graph 13. Annual Total Cash in Lithuania, median 2020-2022

| a, 2022 |  |
|---------|--|
|         |  |

| ······,······························· |                   |         |                          |                    |                        |                    |                    |
|--|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|  | Annual Total Cash |         | Percentage<br>difference |                    | Monetary<br>difference |                    |                    |
| Job<br>value<br>points                 | 2022              | 2021    | 2020                     | 2022<br>vs<br>2021 | 2022<br>vs<br>2020     | 2022<br>vs<br>2021 | 2022<br>vs<br>2020 |
| 160                                    | 28 120            | 26 873  | 24 117                   | 5%                 | 17%                    | 1 247              | 4 003              |
| 184                                    | 27 816            | 29 423  | 28 247                   | -5%                | -2%                    | -1 607             | -431               |
| 212                                    | 32 536            | 30 556  | 27 458                   | 6%                 | 18%                    | 1 980              | 5 078              |
| 244                                    | 40 645            | 38 649  | 34 924                   | 5%                 | 16%                    | 1 996              | 5 721              |
| 281                                    | 46 999            | 48 729  | 45 361                   | -4%                | 4%                     | -1 730             | 1 638              |
| 323                                    | 59 120            | 60 428  | 58 047                   | -2%                | 2%                     | -1 308             | 1 073              |
| 371                                    | 64 005            | 64 814  | 59 588                   | -1%                | 7%                     | -809               | 4 417              |
| 427                                    | 80 293            | 73 881  | 70 735                   | 9%                 | 14%                    | 6 412              | 9 558              |
| 492                                    | 90 536            | 91 669  | 87 248                   | -1%                | 4%                     | -1 133             | 3 288              |
| 566                                    | 109 074           | 124 419 | 121 816                  | -12%               | -10%                   | -15 345            | -12 742            |
| 651                                    | 182 002           | 177 988 | 203 822                  | 2%                 | -11%                   | 4 014              | -21 820            |
|  |                   |         | Average:                 | 0%                 | 5%                     | -571 EUR           | -20 EUR            |

#### Table 7. Annual Total Cash Levels by Job Value Points, median 2020-2022

### **APPENDIX B - SURVEY PARTICIPANTS**

- 1. AbbVie UAB
- 2. Accord Healthcare AB Lietuvos filialas
- AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals GmbH atstovybė Baltijos šalims
- 4. AstraZeneca Lietuva UAB
- 5. Bayer UAB
- 6. Berlin Chemie Menarini Baltic UAB
- 7. Biogen Lithuania UAB
- 8. Bionorica Lithuania UAB
- Boehringer Ingelheim RCV GmbH & Co KG Lietuvos filialas
- 10. Egis Pharmaceuticals Plc Atstovybė
- 11. Gedeon Richter Ltd Atstovybė Lietuvoje
- 12. GL Pharma Vilnius UAB
- 13. Johnson & Johnson UAB

- 14. Lundbeck Lietuva UAB
- 15. Merck Serono UAB
- 16. Mylan Healthcare UAB
- 17. Novartis Baltics SIA Lietuvos filialas
- 18. Novo Nordisk Pharma UAB
- 19. Orivas UAB
- 20. Pfizer Luxembourg SARL filialas
- 21. Roche Lietuva UAB
- 22. Sandoz Pharmaceuticals d.d. filialas
- 23. Servier Pharma UAB
- 24. Zentiva Group a.s. Lietuvos filialas
- 25. Takeda UAB
- 26. Teva Baltics UAB
- 27. WORWAG PHARMA GmbH & Co. KG



| Job family                             | A group of jobs similar in contents according to the Fontes Job Families Catalogue.  |
|--|--|
| Job family level                       | In the Fontes Job Families Catalogue, the job families have been divided into different levels according to their complexity, responsibility, and established requirements. The number of the level is of importance only within the same job family and the value of this level need not coincide with the same level jobs of other job families. |
| Job value in points                    | The relative value of a job in points. Each job family level has a point value, which makes the jobs different in comparable contents.   |
| Monthly base salary                    | Gross monthly salary stipulated in the contract of employment. Monthly base<br>salary includes fixed extra payments for mobility, tenure, qualification level,<br>competence. It does not comprise extra pay for night work or overtime, holiday<br>pay or incentives.   |
| Guaranteed<br>allowances               | Allowances paid on agreed terms (not required by the law, regularly paid).<br>Do not include data that is already included in hourly or monthly base salary<br>(qualification, skills etc.).   |
| Annual base salary                     | Monthly base salary* 12 + Base hourly rate* 168 * 12 + Guaranteed allowances.  |
| Statutory allowances                   | Allowance required by the law. Those allowances are paid if job is containing nightshifts, work during the weekends or holidays etc. Does not include overtime pay.  |
| Sales incentives                       | Incentives of sales or fulfilling sales target. Sales incentives might be paid in a different regularity.  |
|  | Variable (not guaranteed) pay, which depends on individual, team or organization performance that is paid on a monthly, quarterly, semi-annual or annual basis. Goals are clearly set and known for the employee. Variable pay is paid if the tasks are achieved or the performance has been even better.  |
| Short-term/sales<br>incentives target  | Target bonus as % of annual base salary.   |
| Short-term/sales<br>incentives maximum | Maximum bonus as % of annual base salary.  |
|  | Variable (not guaranteed) pay that depends on individual, team, or organization performance. Goals are clearly set and known to the employee. Variable pay is paid if the tasks are achieved or the performance has been even better. Vesting period is more than one year   |
| Annual total cash                      | Annual base salary + Statutory allowances + Sales incentives + Variable short-term incentives + Long term calculated incentives  |
| NoJ/NoO                                | Number of jobs (NoJ) and number of organizations (NoO) in the analysis.  |
| Average                                | The arithmetic weighted average, which is obtained by summing up all the values and then dividing the sum by the total number thereof.   |
| 90% decile                             | 90% of salaries are smaller than the presented value.  |
| 75% quartile                           | 75% of salaries are smaller than the presented value.  |
| Median                                 | The central member in the sequence of salaries, which are ordered from the highest to the smallest. Precisely 50% of the salaries are higher and 50% of the salaries are smaller than the presented value.   |
| 25% quartile                           | 25% of salaries are smaller than the presented value.  |
| 10% decile                             | 10% of salaries are smaller than the presented value.  |

# APPENDIX D - ANALYTICAL JOB EVALUATION METHOD

Analytical job evaluation is a technique designed to enable trained evaluators to evaluate and judge the size of one job relative to others. Analytical job evaluation method is described in Fontes Handbook of Job Evaluation of Intellectual and Physical Jobs. The method has been created and developed by Fontes and bases on ILO recommended method. It is used in all three Baltic States.

Jobs are evaluated based on education and professional experience, work characteristics and accountability. For describing these factors the following criteria are used:

Education Describes the education required for fulfilling the tasks.

| Professional<br>experience        | Describes the experience required for the job. The amount of points depends on two factors: 1) experience in the respective area and 2) experience of the respective occupation (e.g. management, project management, etc.).              |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Work complexity                   | Describes the extent to which work environment, work methods and tasks are described, also the complexity of work process.  |
| Mental effort                     | Describes the complexity of problem solving and decision-making process; the number of alternatives to be addressed in finding solutions; the amount of information that requires processing, creativity needed in seeking new solutions. |
| Co-operation and<br>management    | Describes the scope and characteristics of management, coordination and cooperation.  |
| Responsibility for work processes | Describes the amount of all processes to be managed by the respective position, the scope and number of structural units in the sphere of responsibility of this position.  |
| Accountability for<br>decisions   | Shows how comprehensive and far-reaching are the effects of the decisions made by this position.  |
| Work methods                      | Describes the level of mechanization and automation of the work process (is evaluated in case of physical work).  |
| Physical effort                   | Describes the daily physical effort required from the employee (is evaluated in case of physical work).   |
| Working conditions                | Describes the amount and level of unhealthy and stress-inducing factors accompanying the job.   |

Analytical job evaluation method is universal and is applicable in every organization and with all jobs. When compared with job family classification method analytical method is more precise and enables to evaluate and compare jobs for which there is the small amount of similar jobs description available in the labour market. Fontes analytical job evaluation points scale and job family classification scale are compatible.



# FIGURE

© Figure Baltic Advisory 2022. All rights reserved.